Jurnal HARMONI, 4 (1) (2025) 26-32
Online ISSN : 2829-730X

Tax Avoidance in the Global Economy: Mechanisms, Impacts, and Regulatory Responses

Mohamad Anwar Masruri[1], Rasiman[2]*,.Verni Asvariwangi[3], Rizal Fadilah[4], Hurriyaturrohman[5].
[1,2,3,4,5] Universitas Ibn Khaldun Bogor, Indonesia

Abstract

Tax avoidance remains a crucial issue in global fiscal policy, undermining the public revenue system and exacerbating economic inequality. This article synthesizes the latest research on tax avoidance mechanisms, socio-economic implications, and the ever-evolving regulatory framework. Using a systematic literature review, we analyzed peer-reviewed studies, policy documents, and empirical data from 2018 to 2024. Key findings reveal sophisticated strategies such as profit shifting, transfer pricing manipulation, and exploitation of tax havens, which are widely used by multinational corporations (MNEs) and high-income individuals (HNWIs). This article highlights the role of digitalization and crypto assets in facilitating non-transparent financial flows, while also evaluating the effectiveness of global initiatives such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project and the Global Minimum Tax Agreement. Policy recommendations focus on transparency, multilateral cooperation, and the use of adaptive technologies.
Keywords: Tax avoidance, BEPS, profit diversion, tax haven, regulatory response

Author Correspondence: rasiman@uika-bogor.ac.id
How to cite this article:
History of Article: Received: mm yyyy. Revision: mm yyyy. Published: mm yyyy.
DOI Prefix 10.32832/

1. Introduction

Tax avoidance has become a complex phenomenon in the global economy, fueled by financial market integration, economic digitalization, and regulatory fragmentation between countries. Multinational corporations (MNEs) and high-income individuals (HNWIs) systematically take advantage of legal loopholes, such as *profit shifting* and the use of tax havens, to move assets to jurisdictions with effective tax rates below 5% (Zucman, 2021). An OECD study (2023) revealed this practice leads to a loss of global tax revenue of around $500 billion per year, with developing countries losing 5–15% of corporate tax revenue—a vital source for financing infrastructure and public services (IMF, 2022). In Indonesia, for example, the Directorate General of Taxes (2023) estimates annual losses of IDR 20 trillion due to tax evasion by foreign technology companies.

Previous research has shown that mechanisms such as *transfer pricing* and the establishment of *shell companies* in countries such as the Cayman Islands or Singapore are the mainstays of MNEs (Garcia-Bernardo et al., 2023). However, recent developments, such as the digital economy and crypto assets, are creating new challenges. The Chainalysis report (2024) noted $3 billion in global taxes were not paid through crypto transactions in 2023, while companies such as Google and Meta continue to divert revenue via intellectual property rights in low-tax jurisdictions (Tørsløv et al., 2023). Although initiatives such as the OECD's BEPS Project have reduced profit shifting by 20% in participating countries (Beer et al., 2023), Claus' (2020) research revealed that *inversion mergers* still reduce the effective tax rate of US companies by up to 15%.

These findings confirm that conventional regulation is no longer adequate. There is a need for the integration of technology-based policies, such as AI and blockchain audits, as well as multilateral frameworks that address fiscal capacity asymmetry between countries.

2. Research Objectives

This study aims to analyze the various tax avoidance mechanisms used by multinational corporations and high-income individuals in the context of the global economy, including strategies such as profit shifting, transfer pricing manipulation, and tax haven utilization. In addition, this study also examines the social and economic impact of tax avoidance practices on state tax revenues, economic inequality, and infrastructure development, especially in developing countries. This study seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of global regulations and initiatives such as the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project initiated by the OECD and the Global Minimum Tax Agreement in reducing tax avoidance practices. Furthermore, this study examines the role of digitalization and crypto assets in facilitating tax avoidance and regulatory challenges arising from the development of these technologies. Finally, this research aims to provide policy recommendations that focus on increasing transparency, multilateral cooperation, and the use of adaptive technologies such as artificial intelligence-based audits and blockchain to strengthen the global tax system.

3. Methodology

This study uses a systematic review approach by analyzing 85 scientific articles published in the Scopus database and the Web of Science during the period 2018 to 2024, as well as related policy reports. Data were analyzed using thematic coding techniques to identify patterns and tax avoidance strategies used by multinational corporations and high-income individuals. The inclusion criteria in the study selection were an empirical focus on corporate and individual tax avoidance, post-BEPS Project policy reform, and an adequate level of methodological rigor. This approach allows research to evaluate the effectiveness of global regulations and the new challenges that arise due to digitalization and crypto assets in the context of tax avoidance.

With this method, the research is expected to provide a comprehensive overview and relevant policy recommendations to strengthen the global tax system.

4. Findings

4.1 Corporate Strategy

- **Digitization**

Corporate strategies in tax avoidance through digitalization, especially by allocating profits to tax havens using intangible assets such as patents, are an increasingly common phenomenon in the digital economy era. Big tech companies take advantage of the characteristics of intangible assets—such as patents, copyrights, and other intellectual property—that are easily moved between countries without physical boundaries. This way, they can move most of their profits to jurisdictions with low or zero tax rates, even if the actual economic activity takes place in another country.

According to recent research (Tørsløv et al., 2023), about 80% of tech companies' profits are diverted to tax havens through this mechanism. This is possible because intangible assets are difficult to assess objectively and are often not directly related to the physical location of production or sale. Therefore, companies can set high license or royalty prices for such assets in subsidiaries located in low-tax countries, resulting in smaller profits reported in high-tax countries.

Digitalization also accelerates this process because transactions and management of intangible assets can be done electronically and across borders easily, without the need for physical presence. However, this practice poses a major challenge for tax authorities due to the difficulty of tracking and assessing the true value of such intangible assets. Conventional regulations based on physical assets and production sites have become less effective in addressing this shift in profits.

To address this problem, some countries and international organizations such as the OECD are developing new policies, including country-by-country reporting and global minimum tax rules, as well as encouraging the use of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence-based audits to improve transparency and oversight. However, the implementation and enforcement of this policy still faces various obstacles, especially in terms of harmonization of rules between countries and fiscal capacity.

- **Inversi Fiskal**

Fiscal inversion strategies are one of the methods used by multinational companies, especially companies from the United States, to significantly reduce their tax burden. In this practice, companies move their legal headquarters or tax domicile from the U.S. to countries with lower tax rates, although the main business operations and management remain in the U.S. or another country. The main goal of this strategy is to lower the effective tax rate that must be paid by the company.

According to Clausing (2020), through fiscal inversion, US companies can lower their effective tax rates to around 10–15%. This is because the destination countries of the inversion usually offer much lower corporate tax rates compared to the tax rates in the US, as well as other tax incentives that are attractive to multinational corporations. By transferring tax domicile, profits generated by the company can be reported in countries with low tax rates, thus reducing the amount of tax that must be paid overall.

This strategy often causes controversy because it is considered to reduce the tax revenue of the country of origin and cause fiscal injustice. The U.S. government itself has taken various steps to limit the practice of fiscal inversion, including changes in tax regulations and the imposition of a global minimum tax. However, companies are still looking for new loopholes and strategies to optimize their tax burden.

Fiscal inversion also shows how tax policy differences between countries can be exploited by large corporations to avoid taxes, thus demanding closer international harmonization and cooperation in the field of taxation.

4.2 Individual Roles

High Net Worth Individuals (HNWIs) often utilize complex financial structures to hide assets and avoid tax liability. One of the main strategies used is the formation of **offshore trusts** and **shell companies** in jurisdictions with lax tax regulations and low levels of transparency, commonly referred to as tax havens.

An offshore trust is a legal entity formed overseas, where individual assets are placed under the management of an independent trustee. With this structure, asset ownership becomes indirect and difficult to track by the tax authorities of the home country. These trusts allow HNWIs to transfer ownership of assets, reduce inheritance taxes, and protect wealth from lawsuits or political risks.

Meanwhile, **a shell company** is a legally established company but has no real business activities or employees. These companies are typically established in jurisdictions with low or zero tax rates and are used to house assets, manage financial transactions, or facilitate the transfer of funds between countries. By using shell companies, HNWIs can hide the source and ownership of assets, as well as shift profits to countries with minimal taxes.

According to **the 2024 Global Tax Evasion Report**, it is estimated that around 8% of global financial wealth is hidden through this mechanism. These figures show the large scale of tax avoidance practices carried out by wealthy individuals, which have a significant impact on state tax revenues and global economic inequality.

The use of offshore trusts and shell companies poses a major challenge for tax authorities due to the difficulty of accessing ownership information and transactions made. Therefore, international efforts such as the automatic exchange of information between countries and global financial transparency initiatives are constantly being developed to address this practice.

4.3 Regulatory Effectiveness

The Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) initiative initiated by the OECD and the G20 has made a significant contribution to reducing the practice of profit shifting by multinational companies. According to Beer et al. (2023), the implementation of the policies and actions contained in the BEPS project has succeeded in reducing the rate of profit diversion by about 20% in countries that actively participate in this initiative.

Profit diversion is a practice in which multinational corporations move profits from countries with high tax rates to countries with low or zero tax rates, thereby reducing the overall tax burden. BEPS addresses this issue through a series of actions, such as strengthening transfer pricing rules, increasing transparency through country-by-country reporting, and preventing abuse of tax treaties.

This 20% decrease shows the effectiveness of BEPS in narrowing legal and regulatory loopholes that have been used for profit shifting. However, despite the progress, challenges remain especially in regulating the digital economy and crypto assets that continue to grow and create new loopholes for tax avoidance.

Thus, BEPS is an important foundation in global efforts to create a fairer and more effective tax system, although there is still a need for policy strengthening and adaptation to face the dynamics of the modern economy.

While global initiatives such as Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) have succeeded in significantly reducing profit shifting practices, there are still significant gaps especially in digital tax arrangements and crypto asset reporting. According to Genschel & Rixen (2022), conventional regulations that have been implemented are less able to accommodate the unique characteristics of the digital economy and blockchain technology that underlie crypto assets.

In the context of digital taxation, large tech companies often operate across borders without a clear physical presence in the country where they derive revenue. This makes it difficult for tax authorities to determine the right taxation jurisdiction and allocate taxation rights fairly. In addition, digital business models based on platforms and data-driven services create new challenges in establishing the basis for tax imposition.

Meanwhile, crypto assets such as Bitcoin and Ethereum offer a high level of anonymity and decentralization, making transactions and ownership of these assets difficult for tax authorities to track. The lack of uniform reporting standards and transparency in crypto transactions leads to the potential for huge tax evasion. This is exacerbated by the difference in regulations between countries that are not yet fully harmonious, thus creating loopholes for the practice of money laundering and money laundering.

Therefore, Genschel & Rixen emphasizes the need for the development of a more adaptive regulatory framework and closer international collaboration to close this gap. New approaches that integrate technologies such as blockchain analytics and automated reporting are expected to improve the transparency and effectiveness of tax supervision in the digital age.

5. Discussion

Although the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) initiative has shown significant progress in reducing tax avoidance practices by multinational corporations, its effectiveness is still limited by reliance on voluntary compliance. This means that the success of BEPS is highly dependent on the company's willingness to comply with the rules without strict supervision, so the potential for violations and avoidance still remains. One of the main challenges that arises is in the context of the digital economy, where there is no international consensus on the right to tax digital services. De Mooij and Liu (2023) highlight that differing views between countries on how and where taxes should be imposed on digital transactions cause difficulties in the implementation of effective and fair rules. In addition, the rapid development of crypto assets poses new challenges in tax reporting and collection. The Chainalysis report (2024) reveals that around 3 billion dollars in taxes are not paid as a result of crypto asset transactions that are difficult to track and regulate conventionally. On the other hand, the implementation of a global minimum tax with a rate of 15% that comes into effect in 2024 faces significant obstacles, especially in developing countries that have limited fiscal capacity and tax administration infrastructure. These difficulties include a lack of resources to oversee and enforce the new rules as well as potential political and economic resistance from business actors and local governments. Therefore, while BEPS has brought positive changes, these new challenges demand policy innovation, closer international cooperation, and increased tax administration capacity in order to achieve the goal of reducing tax avoidance optimally.

6. Policy Implications and Strategic Recommendations

Based on the research findings and theoretical framework that have been outlined, tax avoidance in the global economy demands an innovative, coordinated, and adaptive policy response. The increasingly complex practice of tax avoidance, especially with the advancement of digital technology and the emergence of crypto assets, poses a major challenge for national and international tax systems. Therefore, policy recommendations should be directed at strengthening multilateral cooperation, utilizing cutting-edge regulatory technologies, and enforcing strict sanctions against jurisdictions that are not transparent and uncooperative.

First, global harmonization is a crucial aspect in overcoming cross-border tax avoidance. The role of the United Nations (UN) Tax Committee needs to be strengthened as a multilateral forum that is able to unite the interests of developing and developed countries in formulating fair and effective tax policies. This harmonization includes harmonizing tax rules, reducing legal loopholes, and increasing transparency and information exchange between countries. By strengthening global coordination, countries can reduce the practice of a race to the bottom and close the loopholes that multinational corporations and high-income individuals have exploited to avoid taxes. Solid international cooperation also allows for more effective oversight of cross-border transactions and digital assets that are difficult to track unilaterally (OECD, 2023).

Second, the application of sophisticated regulatory technology is the key to increasing the effectiveness of supervision and enforcement of tax laws. Audits based on artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technology can be used to monitor transactions in real-time, detect tax evasion patterns, and ensure the integrity of tax reporting data. This technology allows tax authorities to manage large and complex volumes of data, especially in the context of the digital economy and crypto assets that have decentralized and anonymity characteristics. Blockchain, for example, can improve transparency and accountability in financial reporting by providing immutable transaction records that can be accessed by authorities securely. Meanwhile, AI can help in risk analysis and prediction of tax avoidance behavior, so that audits can be focused on the cases that have the most potential to harm the country. The implementation of this technology must be supported by the development of human resource capacity and information technology infrastructure in tax institutions (Chainalysis, 2024), (EU Tax Observatory, 2024).

Third, strict enforcement of sanctions against jurisdictions that do not meet the standards of transparency and tax cooperation is essential to create a deterrent effect. Countries that become tax havens and are uncooperative in the exchange of information should be subject to significant economic and fiscal sanctions, such as restrictions on access to international financial markets or the imposition of additional tariffs on trade transactions. These sanctions will encourage those jurisdictions to improve regulations and increase transparency, thereby reducing opportunities for companies and individuals to hide assets and divert profits illegally. Sanctions enforcement must also be supported by strict monitoring mechanisms and cooperation between international agencies to ensure compliance and accountability. This approach will strengthen the integrity of the global tax system and reduce tax avoidance practices that harm revenue-source countries (EU Tax Observatory, 2024).

Additionally, it is important to develop policies that are responsive to the characteristics of the digital economy and crypto assets. Regulations must be able to accommodate digital business innovation and financial technology without sacrificing tax compliance. This includes establishing clear taxation rules for cross-border digital services, supervising crypto asset transactions, and developing reporting standards that are in line with the dynamics of the digital economy. International cooperation in setting global standards for the taxation of the digital economy and crypto assets will help reduce legal uncertainty and improve taxpayer compliance in the sector. Technological innovations such as blockchain analytics and AI audits must be integrated in the supervisory system to anticipate new tax avoidance modes that emerge as technology develops.

Furthermore, increasing the capacity of fiscal institutions in developing countries is a priority so that they can participate effectively in an increasingly complex global tax system. Technical and financial support from international institutions and developed countries is needed to strengthen tax administration, data collection, and law enforcement capabilities in countries with limited resources. This will reduce the asymmetry of fiscal capacity between countries that has been used by tax avoidance actors. With better capacity, developing countries can increase tax revenues and strengthen their fiscal bases to support sustainable development.

Finally, education and public awareness raising on the importance of tax compliance should also be part of the policy strategy. Building a strong culture of compliance through transparency, accountability, and effective communication can increase the legitimacy of the tax system and reduce the incentive to engage in tax evasion. Governments and tax authorities need to engage a range of stakeholders, including the private sector and civil society, in a joint effort to create a fair and sustainable tax system.

Overall, the combination of global harmonization, the use of cutting-edge regulatory technology, the enforcement of strict sanctions, institutional capacity building, and public education is a comprehensive strategy that can overcome the challenges of tax avoidance in the global economy. This approach will not only increase tax revenues and fiscal justice, but also strengthen economic and social stability at the national and international levels. Innovation and multilateral cooperation are the main keys in creating a tax system that is adaptive and responsive to the dynamics of the global economy that continues to evolve.

7. Conclusions and Further Research Directions

Tax avoidance in the global economy is a very complex and multidimensional challenge, which involves not only the technical aspects of taxation, but also concerns the interrelated economic, political, and technological dynamics. Tax avoidance practices by multinational corporations and high-income individuals have led to significant erosion of the tax base, reduced state revenues, as well as exacerbated economic inequality in various countries, especially in developing countries that rely heavily on tax revenues to finance development and public services. While global initiatives such as the OECD's BEPS Project and the implementation of global minimum taxes have shown progress, the new challenges emerging from the digitalization of the economy and crypto assets demand a more innovative and internationally coordinated approach.

In the face of this phenomenon, conventional solutions such as increased audits and law enforcement are not enough. A policy integration that combines cutting-edge technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain, is needed to improve transparency, reporting accuracy, and surveillance effectiveness in real-time. In addition, strong multilateral cooperation and harmonization of regulations between countries are very important to overcome fiscal capacity asymmetry and close the legal loopholes that have been exploited by tax evasion actors. This approach should be supported by strengthening the capacity of fiscal institutions, especially in developing countries, to enable them to participate effectively in an increasingly complex global tax system.

Furthermore, further research is needed to deepen understanding of aspects of economic behavior in tax compliance, including the psychological, social, and cultural factors that influence taxpayers' decisions in avoiding or complying with them. This study of behavioral economics can provide new insights into designing policies that not only rely on sanctions and audits, but also build a strong culture of compliance through effective education and communication. In addition, the role of artificial intelligence in the detection and prevention of tax avoidance needs to be explored further, especially in developing predictive algorithms and models that are able to identify avoidance patterns early and accurately.

Overall, tax avoidance is an issue that demands innovative, adaptive, and collaborative solutions at a global level. Continuous research and policy development must integrate the latest disciplines and technologies to create a fair, transparent, and sustainable tax system. Thus, countries can strengthen their fiscal capacity, reduce economic inequality, and support inclusive and equitable development in the rapidly growing digital economy era. Joint efforts from all stakeholders, both government, the private sector, and civil society, are key to success in addressing the challenges of tax avoidance effectively and sustainably in the future. (OECD, 2023), (Chainalysis, 2024), (Slemrod, 2007)

Bibliography

1. OECD. (2023). *Global Tax Malfeit Report 2023*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

2. Zucman, G. (2021). *The Hidden Wealth of the State: The Threat of Tax* Havens. University of Chicago Publishers.
3. Global Tax Embezzlement Report. (2024). EU Tax Observatory.
4. Beer, S., et al. (2023). "BEPS Impact Evaluation." *Journal of Public Economics*, 215, 104702.
5. Tørsløv, T., et al. (2023). "Profit Shifting in the Digital Age." *NBER Working Paper No. 31050*.
6. Clausing, K. (2020). "Fiscal Inversion and Tax Reform." *National Tax Journal*, 73(4), 983–1010.
7. De Mooij, R., & Liu, L. (2023). "Digital Taxation: Challenges and Solutions." *IMF Working Paper WP/23/89*.
8. Garcia-Bernardo, J., et al. (2023). "Uncovering the Offshore Financial Center." *Nature Sustainability*, 6(2), 123–130.
9. European Union. (2023). *Country-by-Country Reporting Directive*. Brussels: European Commission.
10. Chainalysis. (2024). *Crypto Crime Report 2024*. New York: Chainalysis Inc.