



Learning Evaluation by Unraveling Meaning, Categories, and Its Relevance in Education

Afifah Nur Syifa'ia

^aProgram Studi Pendidikan Agama Islam, UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract

Learning evaluation is an important component in the education process that functions to measure the achievement of learning outcomes while improving the quality of the learning process. However, in practice, the understanding and implementation of evaluation in the field are often not optimal. This study aims to examine in depth the basic concept of learning evaluation through a qualitative approach with a literature study method. The study was conducted on various academic sources such as scientific journals, books, and policy documents that discuss evaluation in the context of education. Using the content analysis method, this study identified three main topics. First, the development of the definition of learning evaluation from the perspective of education experts which shows a shift in meaning according to the needs and developments of the times. Second, the classification of types of evaluation in education, including formative, summative, diagnostic, and other alternative evaluations. Third, the benefits of implementing evaluation in improving the quality of the learning process and outcomes, both for students and educators. The conclusion of the study shows that although theoretical understanding of evaluation has developed, its implementation in the field still faces many obstacles. Therefore, it is necessary to increase the capacity of teachers in designing comprehensive evaluations and education policies that support the balance between formative and summative evaluations as an integral part of the learning process.

Keywords: Learning Evaluation; Education; Process and results.

INTRODUCTION

Learning evaluation is a crucial component in the education system that functions to measure and improve the quality of learning. In practice, evaluation not only serves as a tool for assessing student learning outcomes but also as feedback for improving the learning process. However, despite the importance of evaluation being widely discussed in various literatures, the implementation of learning evaluation in the field still faces various complex challenges that need to be studied more deeply.

Some facts indicate that many educators still have a limited conceptual understanding of learning evaluation. They tend to view evaluation merely as an

Submitted: 01-09-2026 Approved: 09-09-2026. Published: 20-10-2026

Corresponding author's e-mail: afifahnrasyf44@gmail.com

ISSN: Print 2722-1504 | ONLINE 2721-1002

<https://ejournal.uika-bogor.ac.id/index.php/jpg/index>

activity of measuring learning outcomes through tests, without considering the formative aspects that are developmental in nature. Moreover, the diversity of evaluation methods and instruments, which should be tailored to the needs of learning, is often not utilized optimally. As a result, the evaluations conducted have less significant impact on improving the quality of learning.

On the other hand, educational policies often emphasize summative evaluation standards (such as the National Examination in the past or the Minimum Competency Assessment now), while formative evaluation, which should be the basis for improving the learning process, is neglected. This creates a gap between the ideal evaluation goals and the actual practices in schools. Previous research also shows that the lack of teacher training in designing valid and reliable evaluation instruments contributes to widening this gap.

Therefore, this article aims to elucidate the basic concepts of learning evaluation, including its definition, types, and benefits, while also highlighting the challenges that occur between theory and implementation. With a more comprehensive understanding, it is hoped that educators can apply evaluation more effectively to support the success of learning.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research uses a qualitative approach through literature study (library research) by analyzing various reliable academic sources (journals, books, policy documents). Data were collected through a systematic search in academic databases using keywords related to learning evaluation, then selected based on relevance and credibility. The analysis was conducted using content analysis through three stages: thematic coding, comparative synthesis, and critical interpretation, focusing on the evolution of concepts, classification of forms, and practical implications of learning evaluation. The validity of the data is maintained through source triangulation and researcher reflexivity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Definition and Essence of Learning Evaluation

In contemporary education, learning evaluation has undergone a profound and paradigmatic transformation. Evaluation is no longer understood merely as a tool to measure the final outcome of the learning process, but has evolved into a systematic, comprehensive, and continuous process. Evaluation now encompasses various important aspects of the learning process, ranging from data collection, information analysis, to result interpretation to support educational decision-making. Based on a comprehensive literature review, Worthen and Sanders (1987) define learning evaluation as a structured and decision-oriented process aimed at assessing the extent to which learning objectives have been achieved. In other words, evaluation not only answers the question "what has been learned?", but also "to what extent is the learning effective?" and "how can the learning be improved?".

It is necessary to clearly distinguish between learning evaluation and assessment, although both are often used interchangeably in practice. Assessment, according to Gronlund (1998), more refers to the process of measuring students' abilities or learning achievements using various instruments, both test and non-test. The focus of assessment is on the quantification of individual learning achievements. Meanwhile, learning evaluation has a broader and more complex scope because it not only measures outcomes but also interprets those outcomes in the context of learning objectives, and uses them to make pedagogical and strategic decisions (Popham, 2011). In this framework, assessment can be considered one of the important components of evaluation, but it does not reflect the entire evaluative process, which is holistic in nature.

Historically, the evolution of the concept of evaluation shows a shift in orientation from an approach that solely focuses on the results or outputs of learning to an approach that views learning as a continuous and complex process (Latip, 2018). Initially, as proposed by Tyler (1949), evaluation was more product-oriented, with the main emphasis on the final results in the form of students' academic achievements. However, as educational thinking has evolved, this approach is considered inadequate in addressing the challenges of dynamic learning. A major change was introduced by Scriven (1967) through the concepts of formative and summative evaluation, which state that evaluation not only serves as a final assessment (summative) but also as a means to improve and guide the teaching and learning process (formative).

Evaluation in education should not only focus on the mastery of knowledge cognitively but also consider the learning context and the needs of all parties involved (Rahmat Risyawal, Nasution, & Asra, 2023). This approach demands a more comprehensive and adaptive evaluation system. In practice, evaluation needs to encompass three main domains: cognitive, affective, and psychomotor. The cognitive domain reflects the intellectual abilities of learners, the affective domain relates to attitudes, values, and character, while the psychomotor domain assesses aspects of physical and applicative skills. The three of them form a more comprehensive basis for evaluating the learning process and outcomes.

This shift aligns with the needs of 21st-century education, which demands that learners are not only academically proficient but also possess strong character and skills relevant to real life (Kurniawati & Ridha, n.d.). Therefore, evaluation not only serves as a tool to measure learning achievements but also as an instrument to monitor the development of personality and practical skills. In this context, evaluation becomes an integral part of the educational process that supports the formation of knowledgeable, character-driven, and skilled individuals.

The implications of the development of this evaluation concept are very broad, both in the realm of theory and educational practice. From a theoretical perspective, this shift enriches the understanding of the function of evaluation in education, adds depth to the analysis, and opens up space for new approaches that are more contextual and relevant. Practically, educators are now required to design and implement

evaluation methods that are not only technically accurate but also adaptive to the individual needs of learners (Asrul, Sarigih, & Mukhtar, 2022). Evaluation is no longer viewed as an activity separate from learning, but rather as an integral part of the entire learning process. This concept is known as embedded assessment, where the evaluation process occurs continuously within the learning activities themselves. This approach aligns with the principle of assessment for learning proposed by Black and Wiliam (1998), which emphasizes that evaluation should function to enhance the quality of learning, not merely to assign grades or labels to students.

Thus, learning evaluation in the context of contemporary education is an important element that is not only technical but also strategic and philosophical. It becomes an instrument to understand, reflect on, and direct learning toward a more meaningful and sustainable path, as well as contribute to the achievement of holistic and transformative educational goals.

Types of Evaluation and Their Characteristics

In the world of education, evaluation plays an important role as a tool to assess and guide the learning process to ensure it runs effectively and in accordance with the established objectives. There are three main types of evaluation commonly used in educational practice, namely formative, summative, and diagnostic evaluation. The three of them have fundamental differences in terms of objectives, timing of implementation, and their impact on the learning process. Formative evaluation is a type of evaluation conducted during the learning process. The main objective is to provide direct feedback to educators and learners so that the learning process can be continuously improved and adjusted (Sunaryati, Laelly, Febriyanti, & Apriliani, 2024). This evaluation is corrective and oriented toward improving ongoing learning, allowing teachers to adjust methods, materials, or teaching strategies based on students' needs in real-time. Examples of formative evaluation can include daily quizzes, individual or group assignments, class discussions, or direct observations.

Unlike formative evaluation, summative evaluation is conducted at the end of a learning unit, semester, or academic year. The purpose of summative evaluation is to assess the final achievement of students against the established learning objectives. This evaluation is total and comprehensive, and is used to determine formal learning success, such as in the form of report card grades, graduation, or class promotion. Final semester exams, final projects, and portfolio assessments are examples of summative evaluations. Because it is a final assessment, summative evaluation tends not to provide room for ongoing learning improvement, but rather emphasizes the documentation of learning achievements that have already occurred.

Meanwhile, diagnostic evaluation has characteristics that differ from the two previous types of evaluation. This evaluation is conducted before the learning begins, with the aim of identifying the initial conditions of the learners, including prerequisite knowledge, learning difficulties, or obstacles that students may face in understanding the material to be studied (Aidila, 2024). Diagnostic evaluation is very important for designing learning that meets the individual needs of students, as the information

obtained allows teachers to design differentiated or remedial learning strategies. Examples of diagnostic evaluation can include pre-tests, interviews with students, or reviews of previous learning outcomes.

The selection of the appropriate type of evaluation must be aligned with the learning objectives to be achieved. If the learning objectives focus on monitoring students' learning progress and improving the quality of ongoing learning, then formative evaluation is the most appropriate choice. Conversely, if the evaluation aims to determine the overall achievement of learning outcomes and is final in nature, then summative evaluation is more relevant to use (Putri Su'aidah Pulungan, 2020). Meanwhile, when teachers want to assess students' initial readiness for a subject or detect potential learning difficulties early on, diagnostic evaluation becomes a very useful instrument. In the best educational practices, ideally, these three types of evaluations are used complementarily, as each contributes uniquely to supporting the overall success of the learning process. By understanding the characteristics and functions of each type of evaluation, teachers can make more accurate decisions in designing responsive, adaptive, and needs- and potential-oriented learning strategies for their students.

The Benefits of Learning Evaluation in Improving the Quality of Education

Learning evaluation plays a very important role in enhancing the effectiveness of the teaching and learning process because through evaluation, teachers and related parties can obtain accurate and relevant information regarding the extent to which learning objectives have been achieved, as well as the strengths and weaknesses in the ongoing learning process. With the presence of evaluation, the learning process is no longer linear and one-directional, but rather becomes a dynamic, reflective, and data-driven process. Evaluation helps teachers to determine whether the methods, media, or strategies used are in accordance with the characteristics and needs of the students. For example, if the results of formative evaluation show that the majority of students do not yet understand a certain concept, the teacher can immediately make improvements, such as providing a re-explanation, giving additional exercises, or using a different approach (Hidayat & Asyafah, 2019). Thus, evaluation not only serves as a tool for measuring outcomes but also as a means to directly improve the learning process, making it more adaptive and focused on enhancing the quality of student learning.

Furthermore, learning evaluation also contributes to creating learner-centered education. Through various forms of evaluation, whether formative, summative, or diagnostic, teachers can understand students' learning styles, readiness levels, and individual difficulties. This enables teachers to design more personalized and meaningful learning experiences, and to avoid uniform approaches that are not always effective. Evaluation conducted accurately and continuously will build constructive feedback, both from students to teachers and vice versa, resulting in healthy and productive pedagogical communication (Nadya Putri Mtd, Muhammad Ikhsan Butarbutar, Sri Apulina Br Sinulingga, Jelita Ramadhani Marpaung, & Rosa Marshanda

Harahap, 2023). The effectiveness of learning will increase when the evaluation process is made an integral part of the learning itself, rather than just an administrative activity or mere formality.

In the context of educational decision-making, learning evaluation serves as an objective and rational foundation. The information generated from the evaluation process serves as an important foundation for various strategic decisions in the field of education, ranging from curriculum planning, the development of learning programs, student placement in learning groups, to the formulation of policies for improving educational quality (Hudri & Umam, 2022). For example, evaluation results can be used by school principals to identify teachers who need additional training, or by the education department to design intervention programs for schools with low academic performance. At the classroom level, teachers use evaluation results to determine whether students need remedial, enrichment, or other special treatments. Evaluation also serves as a tool to measure the effectiveness of educational programs, both at the micro level (classroom) and the macro level (the entire education system).

In other words, learning evaluation is a highly strategic managerial and pedagogical instrument. It provides empirical data that can be used to make evidence-based decisions, rather than relying on mere assumptions or intuition (Moh Soheh, 2019). Decisions made based on evaluation results tend to be more targeted, relevant, and accountable both academically and administratively. Therefore, evaluation is not only important for improving the quality of classroom learning but also becomes an essential component in the process of formulating more effective, fair, and sustainable educational policies.

CONCLUSION

Learning evaluation in contemporary education has evolved into a holistic, systematic, and continuous process, not only functioning to assess final outcomes but also serving as a tool to improve and guide the learning process comprehensively. The three main types of evaluation are formative, summative, and diagnostic, each with specific roles that complement each other in supporting the effectiveness of learning. Evaluation also becomes an important instrument in data-based educational decision-making, both at the classroom level and in macro policies. Thus, evaluation not only functions as a tool for measuring learning achievements but also as a strategic foundation for creating adaptive, meaningful, and student-centered education that develops learners holistically in the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aidila, N. (2024). *Langkah-langkah Evaluasi Pembelajaran*.
- Asrul, Sarigih, A. H., & Mukhtar. (2022). *Evaluasi Pembelajaran*. In *Perdana Publishing*. Retrieved from [http://repo.iain-tulungagung.ac.id/5510/5/BAB 2.pdf](http://repo.iain-tulungagung.ac.id/5510/5/BAB%202.pdf)
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Assessment and Classroom Learning*. *Assessment in Education*, 5, 7-74. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>

- Gronlund, N.E. (1998) *Measurement and Evaluation in Teaching*. 4th Edition, Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 96.
- Hidayat, T., & Asyafah, A. (2019). Konsep Dasar Evaluasi Dan Implikasinya Dalam Evaluasi Pembelajaran Pendidikan Agama Islam Di Sekolah. *Al-Tadzkiyyah: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 10(1), 159–181. doi: 10.24042/atjpi.v10i1.3729
- Hudri, S., & Umam, K. (2022). Konsep dan Implementasi Merdeka Belajar pada Evaluasi Pembelajaran Pendidikan Agama Islam. *Moderasi : Journal of Islamic Studies*, 2(1), 51–59. doi: 10.54471/moderasi.v2i1.22
- Kurniawati, I., & Ridha, A. R. (n.d.). *Model Evaluasi Pembelajaran PAI Kontemporer “ Pembelajaran Futuristik ” Pendahuluan Metode*. (2), 138–144.
- Latip, A. E. (2018). *Evaluasi Pembelajaran di SD dan MI*.
- Moh Soheh, A. (2019). Evaluasi Pembelajaran Dalam Konteks Fungsi, Tujuan Dan Manfaat Yang Dilakukan Oleh Pendidik. *Jurnal Pemikiran, Pendidikan Dan Penelitian Ke-Islaman*, 5(2), 25–33.
Retrieved from <https://garuda.kemdikbud.go.id/documents/detail/2344026>
- Nadya Putri Mtd, Muhammad Ikhsan Butarbutar, Sri Apulina Br Sinulingga, Jelita Ramadhani Marpaung, & Rosa Marshanda Harahap. (2023). Pentingnya Evaluasi Dalam Pembelajaran Dan Akibat Memanipulasinya. *Dewantara : Jurnal Pendidikan Sosial Humaniora*, 2(1), 249–261. doi: 10.30640/dewantara.v2i1.722
- Popham, W. J. (2011). *Classroom assessment: What teachers need to know*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Putri Su'aidah Pulungan, A. S. M. (2020). Evaluasi Pembelajaran Pai. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 7(2), 1–23.
- Rahmat Risyawal, Nasution, A. H., & Asra, M. (2023). Efektivitas Pemanfaatan Aplikasi Google Form Sebagai Media Evaluasi Pembelajaran Modern pada Mata Pelajaran Agama di MAN 1 Kolaka. *Jurnal Kolaboratif Sains*, 6(8), 1087–1093. doi: 10.56338/jks.v6i8.4046
- Scriven, M. (1967). The Methodology of Evaluation. In R. W. Tyler, R. M. Gagne, & M. Scriven (Eds.), *Perspectives of Curriculum Evaluation* Chicago (pp. 39-83). Rand McNally.
- Sunaryati, T., Laelly, T. A., Febriyanti, U., & Apriliani, F. (2024). *Analisis Komprehensif Terhadap Jenis-Jenis Evaluasi Pembelajaran Sekolah Dasar : Tinjauan Literatur*. 4.
- Tyler, R. W. (1949). *Basic principles of curriculum and instruction*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Worthen, B.R. and Sanders, J.R. (1987) *Educational Evaluation: Alternative Approaches and Practical Guidelines*. Longman Press, New York, 102.