

Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Ibn Khaldun Bogor

http://dx.doi.org/10.32832/jm-uika.v16i1.18547

# The Mediating Effects of Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction on Perceived Organizational Support Effects Toward Employee Performance of Account Officer in Indonesia

Deva Hidayat<sup>a\*</sup>, Niken Ardiyanti<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a.b.</sup>Program Studi Magister Manajemen, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

\* Corresponding author e-mail: deva.hidayat.dh@gmail.com

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

DOI: 10.32832/jm-uika.v16i1.18547

Article history: Received: 16 Desember 2024 Accepted: 31 Januari 2025 Available online: 05 Februari 2025

Keywords:

Perceived organizational support, organizational commitment, job satisfaction, employee productivity, banking. Employee performance is one of the factors that has attracted attention in the banking industry. The finance-to-deposit ratio of the banking industry in Indonesia has been growing since 2023. However, along with that growth, the number of nonperforming loans also keeps rising. Moreover, the MSME credit portion hasn't reached the targeted point of 30%. Account Officers play a vital role in the micro and MSME segment distribution. Data is collected from 381 bank account officers. This study aims to examine the effects of perceived organizational support on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and employee performance. A partial mediating effect of organizational commitment and job satisfaction was also found in the relationship between perceived organizational commitment and employee performance. The result of this research is that it gives insight into how banking companies are able to improve employee performance.

Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The success or failure of an organization depends on the employees of the organization (Pardo & Alfonso, 2017). One of the challenges in the service industry is to keep workers competent and committed and give maximal effort for organizational goals (Naidoo & Govender, 2022). Those challenges also apply to the banking industries that keep growing to give quality services to their customers.

OJK Defines a bank as an institution that provides service regarding money transactions, whether it follows the conventional or Islamic principle. Banks are one of the organizations that have fast growth. The primary function of a bank is to collect funds and make those funds productive by distributing them to the community through credit and other means. It can be said that banks are crucial for the economy because the performance of a bank can affect a country's economy (Rojikinnor, Gani, Saleh, & Amin, 2023)

Based on Indonesia Banking Industries Data from OJK (2024), the financing to deposit ratio, which is the ratio of credit given by a bank divided by the deposit that the bank receives, shows an increase overall from 2021 until August 2024, which means that the credit given by bank continuously increased. It can be concluded that with such a high ratio, the banks are less liquid but have a high profitability potential. However, the nonperforming loan, the credit that was not paid according to the agreement, is also increasing along with the financing-to-deposit ratio.

However, the finance-to-deposit ratio keeps on increasing. The credit portion of MSME (Micro, Small, Medium Enterprise) is still below the credit portion target set by the government, which is 30% by the end of 2024. It is still 19%, which is also lower than the previous year's portion of 21% (Octaviano, 2024).

The micro-segment in East Asia is a segment with high potential. Micro-segment is a sector that has a high revenue potential for investors. Investing in the micro-segment also has a social impact, such as reducing poverty, inclusivity, and increasing the quality of life of millions of people in the world. Micro segments can also become an option for diversification for investors because they have relatively lower volatility than traditional assets and can reduce the risk in a portfolio (Trang, 2024).

According to a survey from Michael Page (2023) on Indonesian workers, the turnover intention keeps increasing from 10% in 2019 to 23% in 2022. The Asia Pacific Workforces Hopes and Fears Survey shows that 31% of the participants will look for another job for the next year (PWC, 2024). Another survey shows that 29% of the survey participants from the field of finance services sector feel that keeping their jobs is challenging (Michael Page, 2024).

The account officer position has a high turnover cost. It greatly impacts customer loyalty because, according to Drexler & Schoar (2014), changing the lending officer who usually communicates with customers may also increase the chances of nonperforming loans. This role is also considered a key actor in the credit distribution of MSME is the account officer (OCBC, 2024). The lending account officer's main task is to search for customers and to offer credit services and the amounts that customers may lend.

Based on previous metrics, account officer employee performance needs to be improved in order to reach the government's target for the total credit portion. Another concern for the company is to make sure that the account officer is committed to the company, so they want to stay in the company and also exert effort to achieve the company goals. Employee commitment does not only affect the intention to stay in a company. Performance is a target for the company and the most used indicator for organizational effectiveness. The relationship between human resources and employee performance is a topic that has already been researched many times (Pang & Lu, 2018), and many factors have already been identified as having an effect on the performance or achievement of an organization.

One of the factors that affect employee performance is Perceived Organizational Support (POS), which is the support that the employee of a company feels. Previous research shows that increasing POS would increase employee commitment toward the company (Aggarwal-Gupta, Vohra, & Bhatnagar, 2010). The success of an organization, according to Rahaman, Taru, Gupta, Prajapat, & Mahmud (2023), is the result of employee effort to reach organizational goals. POS also affects the employee's organizational commitment. POS also increases performance by creating a competitive advantage (Sheikh, 2023). As time passes, there will be a reciprocal relationship between employee and company, better known as the norm of reciprocity (Pattnaik, Mishra, & Tripathy, 2023).

Another factor that affects the employee performance is job satisfaction. Not only affects the employee, but it can also affect the customer. Keeping the job satisfaction degree high will push the employee to increase their performance (Girdwichai & Sriviboon, 2020). Job satisfaction is an important factor in accelerating the growth and performance of a company (Akhter, Karim, & Islam, 2021). However, even though there's already a lot of research that previously found that job satisfaction has effects on job performance, recent research shows that job satisfaction didn't affect employee performance. This shows that there should be more research on those two variables (Matagi, Baguma, & Baluku, 2022).

Previous research has also shown that perceived organizational support has a positive effect on organizational commitment (Aggarwal-Gupta et al., 2010). However, the mediating effect of organizational commitment between perceived organizational support, job satisfaction, and employee performance still needs to be investigated, especially in Indonesia's banking sector. This research goal is to understand how perceived organizational support affects organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and employee performance of account officers in the Indonesian banking sector.

This research focuses on the account officer in all types of banks as the credit portion of MSME is a government target that must be tried to reach, as job description and the way account officers work typically regardless of the sector. Moreover, the performance of the account officer

can also affect credit distribution and reduce the possibility of non-performing loans, which is one of the objectives of all kinds of banks (Apriantoro, Made Putrawan, & Eryanto, 2022; Prayogi, Jufrizen, Muhammad Fahmi, & Muhammad Irfan Nasution, 2022; Priyanto, 2022). As such, more understanding is needed regarding the factors that affect the employee performance of account officer banking.

**Perceived Organizational Support.** Perceived organizational support defines an employee's belief regarding the appreciation of the company toward their contribution and well-being. (Eder & Eisenberger, 2008). Companies generally want to improve the positive effect of POS in order to achieve maximum results from the applied human resource policies and practices (Zagenczyk, Purvis, Cruz, Thoroughgood, & Sawyer, 2021). Examples of organizational support are training, rewards, promotion, and verbal appreciation (Xu, Zhou, Ampon-Wireko, & Quansah, 2023).

According to the Organizational support theory stated by Eisenberger, Huntington, Hutchison, & Sowa (1986), organizational treatment in the form of fairness, work conditions, and supervisory relationships shows employees that their contribution is appreciated by the company (Rhoades & Eisenberger, 2002).

H1: Perceived organizational support has a significant positive effect on organizational commitment. The organizational commitment theory focuses on understanding the psychological attachment felt by an employee toward their organization. In this theory, there is an assumption that employees with higher perceived organizational support will identify themselves toward the goals and values of the organization, have a sense of belonging toward the organization, and show higher commitment (Yong Kim, Eisenberger, & Baik, 2016). This is proven by Pattnaik et al. (2023), who found that perceived organizational support positively affects organizational commitment.

**H2:** Perceived organizational support has a significant positive effect on employee performance. Employees who feel their employer's support will show better performance (Eisenberger, Fasolo, & Davis-Lamastro, 1990). This result is backed by recent research proof that employee performance is significantly affected by perceived organizational support (Sabir et al., 2022). Research done by Bahadır, Yeşiltaş, Sesen, & Olaleye (2024) shows that *perceived organizational support* has an effect on the *employee performance* of the banking officer.

H3: Perceived organizational support has a significant positive effect on employee job satisfaction. According to Armstrong-Stassen (1998), research shows that there is a significant effect between perceived organizational support and job satisfaction. Furthermore, a company with a higher level of perceived organizational support will also have a higher level of job satisfaction (Allen & Meyer, 1990; Xu et al., 2023). Xu et al. (2023) research shows that perceived organizational support has an effect on doctor employee performance in China. The highest effect is found to be caused by external motivation, which also has an effect on those relationships. Banking account officer organizational commitment has an effect on employee performance.

**Organizational Commitment.** Commitment is a mechanism that consistently shapes human behaviors (Becker, 1960). Another definition of organizational commitment, according to Porter, Steers, Mowday, & Boulian (1974), is a degree of how far an individual identifies with the organization and contributes to the organization. Organizational commitment can also be defined as the feeling and will of an individual to exert extra effort for their organization (Thatcher, Stepina, & Boyle, 2002). Organizational commitment reflects how far an individual identifies himself with the organization and its goal (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2013). There are different views and differences of opinion on the dimension of organizational commitment dimension. Treating organizational commitment as a unidimensional construct may increase applicability across workplace targets, create greater coherence, convergence, and synergy across different workplace commitments, make the boundaries clear, and make the definition of organizational commitment more concise (Meyer, 2017). Committed employees have less chance to withdraw from work because of their attachment and loyalty toward the organization (Robbins & Judge, 2024). Moreover, the committed employee will more likely want to have a good relationship and stay for a long time in a company (Ametepe, Otuaga, Nnaji, & Arilesere, 2024).

Organizational commitment is defined as the identification and involvement of employees with the organization (Allen & Meyer, 1990). Based on Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch, & Topolnytsky (2002), affective commitment and normative commitment correlated positively with employee performance. Commitment to spend the rest of the career is an important factor in employee performance (Singgih, 2022)

H4: Organizational Commitment has a significant positive effect on employee performance. According to Devece, Palacios-Marqués, & Pilar Alguacil (2016), organizational commitment has a significant effect on organizational outcomes, employee behavior, and attitudes. A higher degree of commitment will make employees show positive attitudes such as organizational citizenship behavior and effort. Organizational commitment significance and positive effect are already shown by previous research. Such as Cesário & Chambel (2017) shows a positive significant relationship between organizational communication, organizational commitment, and performance.

**Job Satisfaction.** Job satisfaction is considered one of the antecedents that significantly affects employee performance (Judge, Thoresen, Bono, & Patton, 2001). Moreover, Lisan, Sepang, & Sendow (2016) described it as a mental condition whether an employee is satisfied or not with the company, and so it will affect their job. Job satisfaction can be defined as how much an employee likes a job (Spector, 2022). Aung et al. (2023) research supports this theory by proving that job satisfaction significantly affects employee performance. Job satisfaction is an important factor in the human resource management field because it has a significant impact on productivity, employee performance, and employee retention (Dini, Rina, & Mon, 2024).

**H5:** Job satisfaction has a significant positive effect on employee performance. Job satisfaction is considered one of the important aspects that improve company performance. Because

of their individual characteristics, each individual may have a different perspective regarding workplace job satisfaction, which can also be seen in work behavior and emotion. A worker that has a higher degree of job satisfaction will be more enthusiastic in their work and show their best performance, while the less satisfied employees will feel bored, frustration, and show negative behavior (Rojikinnor et al., 2023).

**Employee Performance.** Employee performance can be defined as individual behavior that affects organizational goals (Rotundo, 2002). Anitha (2014) explains that employee performance is the result achieved at work. Armstrong & Michael (2021) defined performance as a result, how the result is obtained, or even a combination of both of them.

**H6: Organizational commitment mediated perceived organizational support and employee performance.** Because of the effect that it can have on organizational achievement, employee performance is an important factor in achieving (Sabir et al., 2022). Another definition of performance is the use of competency, abilities, actions, knowledge, and skills that create results. Sabir et al. (2022) research result proved that organizational commitment fully mediates perceived organizational support and employee performance in IT sector employees in Saudi Arabia and Pakistan.

H7: Job satisfaction mediated perceived organizational support and employee performance. Khan, Shafique, & Qasim (2022) research result proved that job satisfaction partially mediates perceived organizational support and employee relationship. Happier employees are more productive than unhappy employees (Buckingham & Godall, 2023).

## **RESEARCH METHODS**

This study uses a quantitative research method. The quantitative research method focuses on numerical and statistical data (Sekaran & Bougie, 2016). Using the purposive sampling technique, the sample selected in this study was an account officer working in an Indonesian banking company.

Organizational commitment was measured using the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire adapted from (Mowday et al., 1979) with a total of 15 items. Perceived organizational support was measured using the Perceived Organizational Scale adapted from Eisenberger, Cummings, Armeli, & Lynch (1997) with a total of 8 items. Employee performance was measured using the Individual Work Performances Questionnaire Koopmans, Bernaards, Hildebrandt, De Vet, & Van Der Beek (2014) that was translated to Indonesia by (Widyastuti & Hidayat, 2018) with a total of 18 items. Job satisfaction was measured using the Short Index Of Job Satisfaction adapted by Sinval & Marôco (2020) with a total of 5 items.

The questionnaire was distributed via Google Forms. A total of 46-item questionnaires were adapted from previous research on organizational support theory. This research uses a 6-point Likert scale to gain more responses and to avoid the tendency to fit in, which happens in East Asia (Hempton & Komives, 2008). Data were collected from 393 account officers in Indonesian banking companies. The removal of 12 data was considered inappropriate for the research of

employee performance because of work tenure of less than 1 year, which is less than a cycle of yearly performance assessment. The remaining data was analyzed through structural equation modeling using LISREL software.

### 2. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

**Respondent's demography**. This study collected 381 respondents who are working as account officers in a banking company. The demographics of the respondents can be seen in **Table 1**. 67,19% of respondents were male, 74,28% were aged 21-30, 53,23% were working in a state-owned company, and 78,74% had a bachelor degree.

Profile		Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	256	67,19%
	Female	125	32,81%
	Total	381	100,00%
Age	18-20	1	0,26%
	21-30	283	74,28%
	31-40	76	19,95%
	41-50	21	5,51%
	Total	381	100,00%
Company Owner- ship Type	State-owned company	203	53,28%
	Regional-owned company	50	13,12%
	Private company	128	33,60%
	Total	381	100,00%
Education	Bachelor	39	10,24%
	Master	300	78,74%
	Diploma	31	8,14%
	High School	11	2,89%
	Total	381	100,00%

Source: Processed Data (2024)

**Discriminant Validity and Reliability Testing**. Discriminant validity and reliability testing are done to test the validity of the model. According to Hair et al. (2018), all the factor loadings must exceed the recommended threshold value of 0.5. The measurement of factor loading and Average Variant Extracted result show that all indicators of this research pass the minimum threshold.

The model Goodness of Fit Index (GOFI) are measured to make sure that the model represents the data (Hair et al., 2018). Three types of fit indices are used within this research that is the

Table 2. Goodness-of-Fit Index Measurement Model					
Indicator	Value	Cut-off value	Conclusion		
RMSEA	0,073	$\leq$ 0,08	Good fit		
SRMR	0,05	$\le$ 0,05	Good fit		
NFI	0,98	$\geq$ 0,9	Good fit		
NNFI	0,99	$\geq$ 0,9	Good fit		
CFI	0,99	$\geq$ 0,9	Good fit		
IFI	0,99	$\geq$ 0,9	Good fit		
PGFI	0,79	$\geq 0,9$	Marginal fit		

absolute fit index, incremental fit index, and parsimony fit index. The indicator and the value that is used as a reference can be seen in Table 2.

Source: Processed Data (2024)

Hypothesis testing. From the result of Table 3, H1, which examines the impacts of perceived organizational support on organizational commitment, was supported with a t-value that is higher than 1,65, which is 14,63. That means that perceived organizational support has a significant positive effect on organizational commitment. Hypothesis 1 is accepted. The research shows that this perceived organizational support contributes toward the social exchange approach. This result aligns with the Zagenczyk et al. (2021) research that shows an employee who feels more support from their organization will have better organizational commitment.

H2, which examines the impacts of perceived organizational support on employee performance, was supported with a t-value that is higher than 1.65, which is 4.08. That means that perceived organizational support has a significant positive effect on employee performance. Hypothesis 2 is accepted. This research aligns with the Sihag & Dhoopar (2023) research that shows employees with more perceived organizational support toward employee performance.

H3, which examines the impacts of perceived organizational support on job satisfaction, was supported with a t-value that is higher than 1,65, which is 15,41. That means that perceived organizational support has a significant positive effect on employee performance. Hypothesis 3 is accepted. This result aligns with the Xu et al. (2023) research that shows employees that felt more support from their company will have better employee performance.

H4, which examines the impacts of organizational commitment toward employee performance, was supported with a t-value that is higher than 1,65, which is 3,75. That means that organizational commitment has a significant positive effect on employee performance. Hypothesis 4 is accepted. This result aligns with the result of Naidoo & Govender (2022) research that show that organizational commitment affects employee performance in the context of African banking company.

Hypothesis 5 was supported with a t-value that is higher than 1,65, which is 4,68. That means that job satisfaction has a significant positive effect on employee performance. Hypothesis 5 is

Table 3. Result of Hypothesis Testing					
Path	Path Coefficient	t-value	Conclusion		
$POS \rightarrow OC$	0,78	14,63	Significant Positive Effect		
$POS \rightarrow EP$	0,33	4,08	Significant Positive Effect		
$POS \rightarrow JS$	0,85	15,41	Significant Positive Effect		
$OC \rightarrow EP$	0,21	3,75	Significant Positive Effect		
JS →EP	0,36	4,68	Significant Positive Effect		

accepted. This result aligns with the Maan, Abid, Butt, Ashfaq, & Ahmed (2020) that shows job satisfaction has significant positive impact toward employee performance.

Source: Processed Data (2024)

**Indirect Effects Analysis.** The result in **Table 4** shows that organizational commitment has an indirect effect *of* 0,164 on the relationship between perceived organizational support and employee performance. Because perceived organizational support has a direct effect on employee performance, it can be concluded that the organizational commitment only has a partial mediation effect. Job satisfaction also has an indirect effect with a higher value that is 0,290. It can be concluded that job satisfaction has more direct and indirect effects than organizational commitment. These results add proof for Sabir et al. (2022) and Khan et al. (2022) results that organizational commitment and job satisfaction have a partial mediation effect on the perceived organizational support effect on employee performance.

Table 4. Result of Mediation Analysis						
Path	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Total effect	t-value		
$POS \rightarrow OC \rightarrow EP$	0,21	0,78 x 0,21= 0,164	0,373	5,60		
$POS \rightarrow JS \rightarrow EP$	0,33	0,84 x 0,35= 0,290	0,620	5,60		

Source: Processed Data (2024)

## 3. CONCLUSION & SUGGESTION

Based on the result, this research shows that perceived organizational support has an effect on organizational outcomes such as organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and employee performance, as Kurtessis et al. (2015) hypothesized and showed more proof of organizational support theory. This research also adds proof to the Herzberg motivation-hygiene theory that categorized working conditions and environment into hygiene factors, where the supervision and the interpersonal relationship between an employee and their supervisor will have high job satisfaction and lower job dissatisfaction. This is proven by the effect of perceived organizational support on job satisfaction.

Moreover, the finding of this research can be linked to the social exchange theory and concluded that account officer in Indonesia felt the support from their companies, so their perceived organizational support is positive. This is mainly because the company listens to the employees, which causes them to reciprocate by showing extra effort in their work, which also shows organizational commitment. Strategies that increase perceived organizational support, such as giving the chance for open communication, opportunities, employee development, and recognition of employee contribution, may strengthen the connection between employees and organization (Sulaeman et al., 2024).

Account officer is a job that involves directly searching for consumers and funding. As a field officer, the account officer will have to deal face-to-face with the consumer. The job satisfaction of the account officer may affect the consumer's satisfaction. This happens because employees who are satisfied with their jobs tend to show higher motivation, which can affect the way they work.

This research aims to understand the effects of perceived organizational support, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment on employee performance by banking account officers in Indonesia. Even after the digitization, the target credit portion of MSME hasn't reached its target yet. Account officers, as the representatives of the bank and the ones directly involved with the consumers from those segments, should receive decent organizational support. Not only the perceived organizational support but also the company should maintain and increase job satisfaction and commitment of account officers. This research also shows that perceived organizational support has a higher effect on job satisfaction, and job satisfaction has a higher effect on employee performance rather than organizational commitment, which emphasizes that job satisfaction has a huge contribution in this model after the perceived organizational support. The mediating effect of job satisfaction is also larger than the mediating effect from the mediating effect of organizational support.

This research has its limitations that future research may consider improving, such as the use of other instruments that are not self-assessment (peer review or supervisor assessment). Even though self-assessment data collection can be faster and less costly, the subjectiveness of the questionnaire may affect the result, so the result may be different if the assessment were done. Further, researchers can also research a specific banking type to understand the effects of variables in a specific bank type better, or they can include all job descriptions in the bank so that the research result can be generalizable. Research may also consider adding another variable that may affect the dependent variable, such as motivation or pay and benefits.

#### REFERENCES

Aggarwal-Gupta, M., Vohra, N., & Bhatnagar, D. (2010). Perceived Organizational Support and Organizational Commitment: The Mediational Influence of Psychological Well-Being. *Journal of Business and Management*, 2.

Akhter, A., Karim, M. M., & Islam, K. M. A. (2021). The impact of emotional intelligence, employee empowerment, and cultural intelligence on commercial bank employees' job satisfaction. *Banks and Bank Systems*, *16*(4), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.16(4).2021.02

Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, *63*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325.1990.tb00506.x

Ametepe, P. K., Otuaga, E. U., Nnaji, C. F., & Arilesere, M. S. (2024). The moderating role of workplace ostracism between employee training, employee participation, and organizational commitment among bank employees. *Arab Gulf Journal of Scientific Research*, 42(2), 239–258. https://doi.org/10.1108/AGJSR-11-2022-0249

Anitha. (2014). Determinants of employee engagement and their impact on employee performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, *63*(3), 308–323. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-01-2013-0008

Apriantoro, I., Made Putrawan, I., & Eryanto, H. (2022). Micro Account Officer's Performance in New Era: Evidence from Banks in Indonesia\*. *Journal of Asian Finance*, 9(10), 179–0188.

Armstrong, & Michael. (2021). *Armstrong's Handbook of Strategic Human Resource Management*. Retrieved from www.koganpage.com

Aung, Z. M., Santoso, D. S., & Dodanwala, T. C. (2023). Effects of demotivational managerial practices on job satisfaction and job performance: Empirical evidence from Myanmar's construction industry. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management - JET-M*, 67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2022.101730

Bahadır, F., Yeşiltaş, M., Sesen, H., & Olaleye, B. R. (2024). The relation between perceived organizational support and employee satisfaction: the role of relational psychological contract and reciprocity ideology. *Kybernetes*, *53*(1), 102–122. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-04-2022-0520

Becker, H. S. (1960). Notes on the Concept of Commitment Author (s): Howard S. Becker Source: American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 66, No. 1 (Jul., 1960), pp. 32-40 Published by: The University of Chicago Press Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2773219. *American Journal of Sociology*, 66(1), 32–42.

Buckingham, M., & Goodall, A. (2023). *HBR'S10 Must Reads: On Performance Management*. Boston: Harvard Business School Publishing Corporation.

Dini, Y. I. F., Rina, & Mon, M. D. (2024). Mediation Role of Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance A Study on Distribution Company. *Jurnal Manajemen*, *15*(3), 658–676. https://doi.org/10.32832/jm-uika.v15i3.17531

Drexler, A., & Schoar, A. (2014). Do relationships matter? Evidence from loan officer turnover. *Management Science*, 60(11), 2722–2736. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1957

Eder, P., & Eisenberger, R. (2008). Perceived organizational support: Reducing the negative influence of coworker withdrawal behavior. *Journal of Management*, *34*(1), 55–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307309259

Eisenberger, R., Curnmings, J., Armeli, S., & Lynch, P. (1997). Perceived Organizational Support, Discretionary Treatment, and Job Satisfaction. In *Applied Psychology* (Vol. 82).

Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, P., & Davis-Lamastro, V. (1990). Perceived Organizational Support and Employee Diligence, Commitment, and Innovation. In *Journal of Applied Psychology* (Vol. 75).

Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived Organizational Support. In *Journal of Applied Psychology* (Vol. 71).

Girdwichai, L., & Sriviboon, C. (2020). Employee motivation and performance: Do the work environment and the training matter? *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, *9*, 42–54. https://doi.org/10.9770/JSSI.2020.9.J(4)

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., Black, W. C., & Anderson, R. E. (2018). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (Eight edit). Hampshire: Cengage Learning. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119409137.ch4

Hempton, R. W. and B. M., & Komives, J. P. D. and S. R. M. (2008). Cultural Differences: Why Do Asians Avoid Extreme Responses? *Survey Practice*, *1*(3), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.29115/sp-2008-0011

Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G. K. (2001). The Job Satisfaction-Job Performance Relationship: A Qualitative and Quantitative Review. In *Psychological Bulletin* (Vol. 127).

Khan, M. A., Shafique, D. Z., & Qasim, A. (2022). The Impact of Perceived Organizational Support Program on Employee Performance in the Presence of Job Satisfaction. *International Journal of Social Science And Human Research*. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v5-i12-33

Koopmans, L., Bernaards, C. M., Hildebrandt, V. H., De Vet, H. C. W., & Van Der Beek, A. J. (2014). Measuring individual work performance: Identifying and selecting indicators. *Work*, 48(2), 229–238. https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-131659

Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. (2013). Organizational Behavior Tenth Edition. In *Organizational Behavior: Securing Competitive Advantage*.

Lisan, W., Sepang, J., & Sendow, G. (2016). Analisis Pengaruh Turnover Karyawan, Motivasi, Dan Pengayaan Pekerjaan, Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Karyawan Pada PT. Enseval Megatrading Tbk Manado. *Jurnal Berkala Ilmiah Efisiensi*, *16*(3), 419–426.

Maan, A. T., Abid, G., Butt, T. H., Ashfaq, F., & Ahmed, S. (2020). Perceived organizational support and job satisfaction: a moderated mediation model of proactive personality and psychological empowerment. *Future Business Journal*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-020-00027-8

Matagi, L., Baguma, P., & Baluku, M. M. (2022). Age, job involvement and job satisfaction as predictors of job performance among local government employees in Uganda. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness*, 9(3), 489–505. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-06-2020-0099

Meyer, J. P. (2017). Handbook of employee commitment. Massachusetts: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *61*(1), 20–52. https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.2001.1842

Michael Page. (2023). The Invisible Revolution Talent Trends 2023 / Indonesia.

Michael Page. (2024). Talent Trends 2024.

Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., Porter, L. W., Dubin, R., Morris, J., Smith, F., ... Krackhart, D. (1979). The Measurement of Organizational Commitment. In *Journal of Vocational Behavior* (Vol. 14).

Naidoo, S., & Govender, K. K. (2022). Exploring the Relationship between Organizational Culture, Organizational Commitment and Performance in Commercial Banks in Two African Countries. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, *12*(6), 64–76. https://doi.org/10.32479/irmm.13795

OCBC. (2024, May 8). Mengenal Account Officer Bank, Tugas dan Kisaran Gajinya.

Octaviano, A. (2024, February 20). Porsi Kredit UMKM Baru 19%, Akankah Mampu Capai Target Hingga 30% di 2024? Retrieved November 22, 2024, from Kontan.co.id website: https://keu-angan.kontan.co.id/news/porsi-kredit-umkm-baru-19-akankah-mampu-capai-target-hingga-30-di-2024

OJK. (2024). Statistik Perbankan Agustus. Jakarta. Retrieved from www.ojk.go.id

Pang, K., & Lu, C. S. (2018). Organizational motivation, employee job satisfaction, and organizational performance: An empirical study of container shipping companies in Taiwan. *Maritime Business Review*, *3*(1), 36–52. https://doi.org/10.1108/MABR-03-2018-0007

Pardo, C., & Alfonso, W. (2017). Applying "attribution theory" to determine the factors that lead to the failure of entrepreneurial ventures in Colombia. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 24(3), 562–584. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-10-2016-0167

Pattnaik, L., Mishra, S., & Tripathy, S. K. (2023). Perceived Organizational Support and Organizational Commitment: Moderating Role of Person–Organization Fit. *Global Business Review*, 24(5), 902–915. https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150920920776

Porter, L. W., Steers, R. M., Mowday, R. T., & Boulian, P. V. (1974). Organizational Commitment, Job Satisfaction, And Turnover Among Psychiatric Technicians. *Journal Oj Applied Psychology*, *59*(5), 603–609.

Prayogi, M. A., Jufrizen, Muhammad Fahmi, & Muhammad Irfan Nasution. (2022). Exploring Perceived Organizational Support to Improve Account Officer Performance. *Jurnal Manajemen*, 26(1), 140–161. https://doi.org/10.24912/jm.v26i1.846

Priyanto, E. S. (2022). Pengaruh Kompetensi, Komitmen, Kepuasan Terhadap Loyalitas Yang Berdampak Kepada Kinerja Account Officer Kredit Umkm Bank Bjb. *Jurnal Akutansi Manajemen Ekonomi Kewirausahaan (JAMEK)*, 2(3), 223–237. https://doi.org/10.47065/jamek.v2i3.353

PWC. (2024). Asia Pacific Workforce Hopes and Fears Survey 2024.

Rahaman, M. A., Taru, R. D., Gupta, A., Prajapat, V., & Mahmud, M. A. L. (2023). Factors influencing employee performance and their impact on productivity: A study of commercial banks in Bangladesh. *Banks and Bank Systems*, *18*(2), 127–136. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.18(2).2023.11

Rhoades, L., & Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organizational support: A review of the literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 698–714. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.4.698

Robbins, Stephen., & Judge, Timothy. (2024). Organizational behavior [global edition]. Pearson Education Limited.

Rojikinnor, R., Gani, A. J. A., Saleh, C., & Amin, F. (2023). The Role of Compensation As a Determinant of Performance and Employee Work Satisfaction: A Study at The PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, *39*(4), 943–956. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-06-2020-0103

Rotundo, M. (2002). The relative importance of task, citizenship, and counterproductive performance to global ratings of job performance: a policy-capturing approach. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 66–80. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.1.66

Sabir, I., Ali, I., Majid, M. B., Sabir, N., Mehmood, H., Rehman, A. U., & Nawaz, F. (2022). Impact of perceived organizational support on employee performance in IT firms – a comparison among Pakistan and Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *30*(3), 795–815. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-10-2019-1914

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business*. West Sussex: John Willey & Sons Ltd.

Sheikh, A. M. (2023). Impact of perceived organizational support on organizational commitment of banking employees: role of work-life balance. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, *17*(1), 79–99. https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-02-2021-0071 Sihag, P., & Dhoopar, A. (2023). Organizational resilience and employee performance: the mediation of perceived organizational support in the Indian HEIs. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 72(9), 2674–2696. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-07-2021-0387

Singgih, E. P. (2022). Pengaruh Kompetensi, Komitmen, Kepuasan Terhadap Loyalitas Yang Berdampak Kepada Kinerja Account Officer Kredit Um. *Jurnal Akuntansi Manajemen Ekonomi Dan Kewirausahaan*.

Sinval, J., & Marôco, J. (2020). Short index of job satisfaction: Validity evidence from Portugal and Brazil. *PLoS ONE*, *15*(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231474

Spector, P. E. (2022). Job Satisfaction: From Assessment to Intervention. New York.

Sulaeman, M. M., Haryono, T., Sunaryo, S., Hendarsjah, H., Riani, A. L., & Wahyuni, S. (2024). Transformational Leadership, Perceived Organizational Support Sharing, Organizational Commitment, and Employee Performance: The Role of Religiosity. *Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental*, *18*(4). https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n4-112

Thatcher, J. B., Stepina, L. P., & Boyle, R. J. (2002). Turnover of information technology workers: Examining empirically the influence of attitudes, job characteristics, and external markets. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *19*(3), 231–261. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2002.11045736

Trang, N. (2024, June 17). Microfinance in Southeast Asia: A Future Full of Promise. Retrieved November 28, 2024, from https://www.thailand-business-news.com/banking/145554-microfinance-in-southeast-asia-a-promising-future

Widyastuti, T., & Hidayat, R. (2018). Adaptation of Individual Work Performance Questionnaire (IWPQ) into Bahasa Indonesia. *International Journal of Research Studies in Psychology*, 7(2). https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrsp.2018.3020

Xu, X., Zhou, L., Ampon-Wireko, S., & Quansah, P. E. (2023). Assessing the mediating role of motivation in the relationship between perceived management support and perceived job satisfaction among family doctors in Jiangsu province, China. *Human Resources for Health*, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-023-00849-x

Yong Kim, K., Eisenberger, R., & Baik, K. (2016). Perceived organizational support and affective organizational commitment; Perceived organizational support and affective organizational commitment. *Source: Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *37*(4), 558–583. https://doi.org/10.2307/26610548

Zagenczyk, T. J., Purvis, R. L., Cruz, K. S., Thoroughgood, C. N., & Sawyer, K. B. (2021). Context and social exchange: perceived ethical climate strengthens the relationships between perceived organizational support and organizational identification and commitment. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *32*(22), 4752–4771. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1706618